



Armando Bencomo <armando.bencomo@lacity.org>

Public Comments Not Uploaded Community Impact Statement for today's PLUM meeting Agenda Item #2

1 message

Century Glen <centuryglenhoa@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 12:37 PM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: Councilmember.Harris-Dawson@lacity.org, Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org,

Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

February 15, 2022

Los Angeles Planning and Land Use Management Committee
[200 N. Spring Street](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90012](#)

To the Attention of the Los Angeles Planning and Land Use Management Committee Members:

The Century Glen Homeowners Association (aka Tract 7260) within Los Angeles City Council District 5 supports the Council File 21-1385 Motion presented by Bob Blumenfield (Councilmember, 3rd District). We not only support more effective posting rules and regulations for communication to the public but also we recommend that incorporating additional notification requirements be adopted by the Planning Department and all other pertinent City of Los Angeles Departments. Currently, notifications are limited and inadequate. The minimum notice requirements that should be incorporated into the City of Los Angeles' Procedural Ordinance are as follows:

- * The radius for all mailed notices should be 500 feet, and never just adjoining landowners as currently provided.
- * Each mailed or posted notice should include a website link or email address where interested persons can enroll to receive ALL notices and decisions via email.

PLUM should demand that the City of Los Angeles implement an easy to subscribe to email notification system for all major actions on a project including hearings, release of NOPs, EIRs, NOAs, NODs, and MNDs, and issuance of project approval decision.

We support language in the email notifications that describe the method in which to access project documents at the City's websites regarding approval processes, including but not limited to L.A. Planning, Zoning, and Building and Safety permitting.

The City of Los Angeles should make every effort to improve its communications with neighborhood councils and with their stakeholders.

Sincerely,
Stacy Antler, President Century Glen HOA
Michael Glick, Treasurer Century Glen HOA
Marsue MacNicol, Secretary Century Glen HOA
Donna Gottlieb, Board Member Century Glen HOA
Sara Margoshes, Board Member Century Glen HOA

Century Glen HOA / Tract 7260

www.CenturyGlen.org



Armando Bencomo <armando.bencomo@lacity.org>

Public Comments Not Uploaded Neighborhood council representation at Council and Committee meetings/ Recognition of speakers at public meetings

1 message

Barbara Broide <bbroide@hotmail.com>

Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 1:14 PM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: "clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org" <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>, Candy Rosales PLUM <candy.rosales@lacity.org>, "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Cc: Councilmember Marqueece Harris-Dawson <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, Councilmember Gil Cedillo <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "Assemblymember.Blumenfield@outreach.assembly.ca.gov"

<Assemblymember.Blumenfield@outreach.assembly.ca.gov>, "councilmember.lee@lacity.org"

<councilmember.lee@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org>,"Councilmember.Martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>

I am aware of the comment portal. My earlier email had to do with the manner with which NC reps are acknowledged to speak.

It is not clear as to how neighborhood council representatives are meant to communicate with staff of the various Council Committees (and City Commissions /Boards) in order to notify them as to when we wish to speak.

As NCs are charged with the role of advising the City, it is a problem when we are unable to be recognized. We may never be recognized to speak while waiting in a queue. Often when we are called upon, we are questioned as to whether a CIS has been filed (even though one has been filed).

I suggest that the City Clerk's office create a process whereby there is a mechanism for recognizing NCs (and Community Councils) to speak. Further, that process should be designed to have NC representatives give their public comment at the time when a specific item is being considered (which would be after any staff or developer report has been given)—not in the 20 minute or whatever time allocation is allowed for public comment at the beginning of a meeting. (In reality all public comment should follow reporting on an item, but that is a topic for another discussion.)

We are part of the City's structure and, as such, should not only be assured of the right to speak and be given additional/adequate time to do so, but should have the ability to respond to any information/report presented on a policy or development under consideration in our comments.

When taking public comment in a virtual forum, it is not clear to the public and/or perhaps to the Councilmembers as to how many people are waiting to speak who may never be called upon. They are also unaware as to whether those calling in to speak are there to speak for or against a posted item or whether they seek to make a general comment. I would like to suggest that it is critically important for the City / City Clerk to develop a process whereby those waiting to speak -- or those never called to speak are able to register which item they wish to comment upon and what their position on that item is. When speakers attend in-person meetings at City Hall, that information is gathered when one registers and checks in to speak. At the current time, an agenda could have 3 items or it could have 36 or more items and the same amount of time for public comment is allowed. An agenda may have no items of high public interest or it could have an item that brings out many speakers which leaves those seeking to speak on a different item likely locked out of the ability to address the Council. This is not a reasonable way to attempt to include the public in the Council's deliberations. The City Clerk's office should be charged with implementing a process that recognizes the intent of those who have called in to speak (if they are unable to make a public comment).

In addition: A neighborhood council representative should not have to give up their personal right to speak on a topic that appears on a committee or council agenda where they are speaking to represent their neighborhood council. There must

be a way to take into account the fact that an individual has the right to represent their NC but may also seek to speak to an item (that item or any other on that meeting's agenda) as a member of the general public.

These are important procedural issues that will likely continue to be relevant as the Council considers whether to and/or how to hold future meetings in a hybrid (in-person and virtual) format.

These comments are intended for the City Clerk's office, PLUM staff and the Council's PLUM Committee members. It should also be shared with the Council's Rules Committee and Council leadership.

Thank you for your consideration.

Barbara Broide
Westside NC (Seat 7)

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 15, 2022, at 10:10 AM, Clerk-PLUM-Committee <clerk.plumcommittee+noreply@lacity.org> wrote:

Thank you for comments. The City Clerk's Office now has a new centralized Public Comment Portal for you to submit your comments on items considered by City Council.

Future comments must be submitted to: LACouncilComment.com

*If you have a question or are transmitting communications/documents from applicants, appellants or representatives, we will respond as soon as possible.

Best,

The Council and Public Services Division
Office of the City Clerk



Armando Bencomo <armando.bencomo@lacity.org>

Public Comments Not Uploaded Re: Neighborhood council representation at Council and Committee meetings/ Recognition of speakers at public meetings

1 message

Paula Gerez <paula.ncwpdr@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 1:47 PM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: Barbara Broide <bbroide@hotmail.com>

Cc: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, Candy Rosales PLUM <candy.rosales@lacity.org>, clerk.cps@lacity.org, Councilmember Marqueece Harris-Dawson <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, Councilmember Gil Cedillo <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, Assemblymember.Blumenfield@outreach.assembly.ca.gov, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org

Thank you Barbara for including me in this email.

I wholeheartedly make the same ask. I have been tasked with having our communities voice heard, however I have not always been able to have my comments heard.

Respectfully, Paula

Paula Gerez

President, Neighborhood Council of Westchester Playa

Income Property Seat

Block Captain, Neighborhood Watch

Disclaimer and Privacy Statement: While I am a member of the Neighborhood Council of Westchester Playa, the foregoing may not represent the ratified position or views of the NCWP.

Sent on the go... Please excuse any typos.

On Feb 15, 2022, at 1:14 PM, Barbara Broide <bbroide@hotmail.com> wrote:

I am aware of the comment portal. My earlier email had to do with the manner with which NC reps are acknowledged to speak.

It is not clear as to how neighborhood council representatives are meant to communicate with staff of the various Council Committees (and City Commissions /Boards) in order to notify them as to when we wish to speak.

As NCs are charged with the role of advising the City, it is a problem when we are unable to be recognized. We may never be recognized to speak while waiting in a queue. Often when we are called upon, we are questioned as to whether a CIS has been filed (even though one has been filed).

I suggest that the City Clerk's office create a process whereby there is a mechanism for recognizing NCs (and Community Councils) to speak. Further, that process should be designed to have NC representatives give their public comment at the time when a specific item is being considered (which would be after any staff or developer report has been given)—not in the 20 minute or whatever time allocation is allowed for public comment at the beginning of a meeting. (In reality all public comment should follow reporting on an item, but that is a topic for another discussion.)

We are part of the City's structure and, as such, should not only be assured of the right to speak and be given additional/adequate time to do so, but should have the ability to respond to any information/report presented on a policy or development under consideration in our comments.

When taking public comment in a virtual forum, it is not clear to the public and/or perhaps to the Councilmembers as to how many people are waiting to speak who may never be called upon. They are also unaware as to whether those calling in to speak are there to speak for or against a posted item or whether they seek to make a general comment. I would like to suggest that it is critically important for the City / City Clerk to develop a process whereby those waiting to speak -- or those never called to speak are able to register which item they wish to comment upon and what their position on that item is. When speakers attend in-person meetings at City Hall, that information is gathered when one registers and checks in to speak. At the current time, an agenda could have 3 items or it could have 36 or more items and the same amount of time for public comment is allowed. An agenda may have no items of high public interest or it could have an item that brings out many speakers which leaves those seeking to speak on a different item likely locked out of the ability to address the Council. This is not a reasonable way to attempt to include the public in the Council's deliberations. The City Clerk's office should be charged with implementing a process that recognizes the intent of those who have called in to speak (if they are unable to make a public comment).

In addition: A neighborhood council representative should not have to give up their personal right to speak on a topic that appears on a committee or council agenda where they are speaking to represent their neighborhood council. There must be a way to take into account the fact that an individual has the right to represent their NC but may also seek to speak to an item (that item or any other on that meeting's agenda) as a member of the general public.

These are important procedural issues that will likely continue to be relevant as the Council considers whether to and/or how to hold future meetings in a hybrid (in-person and virtual) format.

These comments are intended for the City Clerk's office, PLUM staff and the Council's PLUM Committee members. It should also be shared with the Council's Rules Committee and Council leadership.

Thank you for your consideration.

Barbara Broide
Westside NC (Seat 7)

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 15, 2022, at 10:10 AM, Clerk-PLUM-Committee <clerk.plumcommittee+noreply@lacity.org> wrote:

Thank you for comments. The City Clerk's Office now has a new centralized Public Comment Portal for you to submit your comments on items considered by City Council.

Future comments must be submitted to: [LACouncilComment.com](https://lacitycomment.com)

*If you have a question or are transmitting communications/documents from applicants, appellants or representatives, we will respond as soon as possible.

Best,

The Council and Public Services Division
Office of the City Clerk